arXiv:q-alg/9511009v2 17 Dec 1995

h-deformation of $GL(1|1)$

Ludwik Dąbrowski and Preeti Parashar

SISSA, Via Beirut 2-4, 34014 Trieste, Italy

ABSTRACT

h-deformation of (graded) Hopf algebra of functions on supergroup $GL(1|1)$ is introduced via a contration of $GL_q(1|1)$. The deformation parameter h is odd (grassmann). Related differential calculus on h-superplane is presented.

Ref. SISSA: 137/95/FM

Recently a new class of quantum deformations of Lie groups and Lie algebras, known as an ‘h-deformation’, has been intensively studied [1-8, 17]. These deformations are important for at least two reasons. One is that the deformation parameter h is naturally dimensionful (like the known κ-deformation) [14], which makes them interesting for possible physical applications. The other is that the standard q-deformation and the h-deformation have been characterized as the only two deformations of 2×2 matrices with the central determinant [5, 6].

In this letter we propose to extend the h-deformation to the case of supergroups and discuss the simplest supergroup $GL(1|1)$. We start with a superplane and its dual and follow the contraction method of [8], see also [15, 16].

Consider the q-deformed algebra of functions on the Manin superplane [13] generated by x', θ' with the relations $x'\theta' = q\ \theta' x'$ and $(\theta')^2 = 0$.

We introduce new coordinates x, θ by

$$\begin{pmatrix} x' \\ \theta' \end{pmatrix} = g \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} , \tag{1}$$

where

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ h/(q-1) & 1 \end{pmatrix} . \tag{2}$$

Here, it is worth pointing out that, differently to the usual situation, the parameter h can not be an ordinary number. In fact, observing that h describes the mixing between the even coordinate x and the odd one θ, it has to be a dual odd (grassman) number. Thus we have $h^2 = 0$ and $h\theta = -\theta h$.

Next, taking the limit $q \longrightarrow 1$ we obtain the following exchange relations, which define the h-superlane A_h

$$x\theta = \theta x + hx^2, \quad \theta^2 = -h\theta x . \tag{3}$$

Similarly, we get the dual (exterior) h-superlane Λ_h as generated by y, ξ with the relations

$$\xi y = -y\xi, \quad \xi^2 = 0 . \tag{4}$$

We now define the corresponding h-deformation of the supergroup $GL(1|1)$ as a matrix quantum supergroup $GL_h(1|1)$ generatead by a, β, γ, d modulo the relations

$$\begin{aligned} a\beta &= \beta a, \quad a\gamma = \gamma a + h(a^2 + \gamma\beta - da), \\ \beta d &= d\beta, \quad \gamma d = d\gamma + h(d^2 - \gamma\beta - da), \\ \beta^2 &= 0, \quad \gamma^2 = h(d\gamma - \gamma a), \\ \beta\gamma &= -\gamma\beta - h(\beta a - d\beta), \quad ad = da + h(\beta a - d\beta). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

These relations are obtained from the requirement that A_h and Λ_h have to be covariant under the (usual matrix) left coaction

$$\delta(x) = a \otimes x + \beta \otimes \theta, \quad \delta(\theta) = \gamma \otimes x + d \otimes \theta \tag{6}$$

and assuming that β, γ anticommute with θ, y and h.

An interesting feature is that the first column of the matrix $T \in GL_h(1|1)$ is not isomorphic to A_h, though this is so in the case of q-deformations.

The relations (5) can be alternatively obtained by performing the similarity transformation introduced in [8]

$$T' = gTg^{-1} \ , \tag{7}$$

which in our case reads

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' & \beta' \\ \gamma' & d' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a - (q-1)^{-1}\beta h & \beta \\ (q-1)^{-1}ha + \gamma - (q-1)^{-1}dh & (q-1)^{-1}h\beta + d \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{8}$$

and next the limit $q \to 1$. Here, a', β', γ', d' are generators of $GL_q(1|1)$, which satisfy the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} a'\beta' &= q\beta' a', \qquad d'\beta' = q\beta' d' \quad , \\ a'\gamma' &= q\gamma' a', \qquad d'\gamma' = q\gamma' d' \quad , \\ \beta'^2 &= 0, \ \gamma'^2 = 0, \ \beta'\gamma' = -\gamma'\beta' \quad , \end{aligned}$$

$$ad - da = -(q - \frac{1}{q})\beta'\gamma' \ . \tag{9}$$

Substituting (8) into (9) we arrive at the set of relations (5) above.

Hopf algebra structure is given by the usual co-product and co-unit

$$\triangle(T_{ij}) = T_{ik} \otimes T_{kj}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \tag{10}$$

$$\varepsilon(T_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}, \tag{11}$$

Introducing the formal inverses a^{-1} and d^{-1}, and using the Gauss decomposition as in [11, 12] we find the antipode

$$S(T) = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} + a^{-1}\beta d^{-1}\gamma a^{-1} & -a^{-1}\beta d^{-1} \\ -d^{-1}\gamma a^{-1} & d^{-1} + d^{-1}\gamma a^{-1}\beta d^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{12}$$

and the quantum super determinant (Berezinian) as

$$D_h = a(d - \gamma a^{-1}\beta)^{-1} \ . \tag{13}$$

(Note, that these formulae are in fact independent of the relations (9)). It is easy to see that D_h can be rewritten equivalently as

$$\begin{aligned} D_h &= (d - \gamma a^{-1}\beta)^{-1} a \\ &= ad^{-1} - \beta d^{-1}\gamma d^{-1} \\ &= d^{-1}a - d^{-1}\beta d^{-1}\gamma \ . \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Moreover, it can be verified that D has the ‘multiplicative property’

$$\Delta(D_h) = D_h \otimes D_h \ . \tag{15}$$

and that D_h belongs to the centre of the algebra

$$TD = DT \ . \tag{16}$$

Therefore by imposing the relation $D_h = 1$, we may define a deformation $SL_h(1|1)$.

The R-matrix for the supergroup $GL_h(1|1)$ can be obtained from the R-matrix of $GL_q(1|1)$ by the transformation

$$R_h = (g \otimes g)^{-1} R_q (g \otimes g) \tag{17}$$

Here [11]

$$R_q = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & q-q^{-1} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{18}$$

and it is assumed that $\otimes$ is graded (we adhere to the conventions of [12]) ie.

$$\begin{aligned} (g_1)^{ab}_{cd} &= (g \otimes I)^{ab}_{cd} = (-1)^{c(b+d)} g^a_c \delta^b_d \ , \\ (g_2)^{ab}_{cd} &= (I \otimes g)^{ab}_{cd} = (-1)^{a(b+d)} g^a_d \delta^a_c \ . \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

As a result, we obtain the following R-matrix R_h

$$R_h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -h & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ h & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & h & h & 1 \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{20}$$

which satisfies the graded Yang-Baxter equation

$$R_{12} R_{13} R_{23} = R_{23} R_{13} R_{12} \ , \tag{21}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (R_{12})^{i_1 i_2 i_3}_{j_1 j_2 j_3} &= R^{i_1 i_2}_{j_1 j_2} \delta^{i_3}_{j_3} \ , \\ (R_{13})^{i_1 i_2 i_3}_{j_1 j_2 j_3} &= (-1)^{i_2 (i_3 + j_3)} R^{i_1 i_3}_{j_1 j_3} \delta^{i_2}_{j_2} \ , \\ (R_{23})^{i_1 i_2 i_3}_{j_1 j_2 j_3} &= (-1)^{i_1 (i_2 + i_3 + j_2 + j_3)} R^{i_2 i_3}_{j_2 j_3} \delta^{i_1}_{j_1} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

with $i_1, i_2, i_3, j_1, j_2, j_3 = 0, 1$. Also, $\hat{R}_h = \mathcal{P} R_h$, where $\mathcal{P}^{i_1 i_2}_{j_1 j_2} = (-1)^{i_1 i_2} \delta^{i_1}_{j_2} \delta^{i_2}_{j_1}$ is the super permutation matrix, satisfies the graded braid equation

$$\hat{R}_{12} \hat{R}_{23} \hat{R}_{12} = \hat{R}_{23} \hat{R}_{12} \hat{R}_{23} \ , \tag{23}$$

with the grading again given by (22). Moreover, $\hat{R}_h$ satisfies

$$(\hat{R}_h)^2 = I$$

and thus has two eigenvalues ±1.

We note that the commutation relations (5) can be expressed by

$$R_h T_1 T_2 = T_2 T_1 R_h \tag{24}$$

where T_1 and T_2 are graded in the same manner as g_1 and g_2 respectively.
Also, let us denote by P_+ and P_- the projections onto the eigenspaces ± 1 of $\hat{R}_h$, and by A and Λ the quotients of algebras generated by x, θ and ξ, y modulo the ideal generated by $\mathrm{Ker}P_-$ and $\mathrm{Ker}P_+$, respectively. Then A and Λ are isomorphic to A_h defined by (3) and Λ_h defined by (4), respectively.

We now pass to the differential calculus on the h-superplane A_h. For this we insert the following solution

$$B = F = C = \hat{R}_h \tag{25}$$

in the Wess-Zumino formulae (2.1, 2.27, 2.28, 2.29 and 2.36) [10]. This yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
x\theta &= \theta x + h x^2, \quad \theta^2 = -h\theta x, \\
\xi y &= -y\xi, \quad \xi^2 = 0, \\
\partial_x \partial_\theta &= \partial_\theta \partial_x, \quad \partial_\theta^2 = 0, \\
x\xi &= \xi x, \quad \theta y = -y\theta - h\xi\theta - hyx, \\
xy &= yx + h\xi x, \quad \theta\xi = \xi\theta - h\xi x, \\
\partial_x x &= 1 + x\partial_x + hx\partial_\theta, \quad \partial_x \theta = \theta\partial_x - hx\partial_x - h\theta\partial_\theta, \\
\partial_\theta x &= x\partial_\theta, \quad \partial_\theta \theta = 1 - \theta\partial_\theta - hx\partial_\theta, \\
\partial_\xi \xi &= \xi\partial_\xi + h\xi\partial_y, \quad \partial_\xi y = y\partial_\xi - h\xi\partial_\xi - hy\partial_y, \\
\partial_y \xi &= \xi\partial_y, \quad \partial_y y = -y\partial_y - h\xi\partial_y \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

It can be checked that this calculus is unique, satisfies all the consistency conditions (see [10]) and is covariant under the coaction of $GL_h(1|1)$.

A few remarks are in order. Note that $\hat{R}_h$ obeys only the graded braid equation and not the ungraded one although $\hat{R}_q = \mathcal{P}R_q$, with R_q given by (18), is quite special as it satisfies both the ungraded and graded braid equations. Moreover, R_q and $P\hat{R}_q = diag(1,1,1,-1)R_q$, where P is the usual permutation, obey both the ungraded and graded Yang-Baxter equations. Surprisingly, due to the odd character of h this is also the case for R_h.

It is worth to mention that for the deformations of $GL(1|1)$ introduced in [12] with two parameters p, q, if $p \neq q$ then R given by (1) in [12] satisfies only the graded Yang-Baxter equation and $diag(1,1,1,-1)R$ satisfies only the (ungraded) Yang-Baxter equation although $\hat{R} = \mathcal{P}R$ obeys both the ungraded and graded braid equations.

We close with a comment that it will be interesting to investigate the dual deformation $U_h gl(1|1)$ with odd parameter h, its representations and relation with $U_q gl(1|1)$. Also the question of an isomorphism, upto Drinfeld twist of the coproduct, with the Lie superalgebra $Ugl(1|1)$ should be studied. The work on these issues is in progress.

It is a pleasure to thank Prof. J. Lukierski for discussion on h-deformations.

References

[1] Demidov E.E, Manin Yu.I, Mukhin E.E and and Zhdanovich D.V, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **102**(1990)203.

[2] Ewen H, Ogievetsky O and Wess J, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **22**(1991) 297.

[3] Zakrzewski S, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **22**(1991)287.

[4] Ohn Ch, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **25**(1992)85.

[5] Kupershmidt B.A, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **25**(1992) L1239.

[6] Karimipour V, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **30**(1994)87.

[7] Aghamohammadi A, *Mod. Phys. Lett. Math.* **A8**(1993)2607.

[8] Aghamohammadi A, Khorrami M and Shariati A, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **28**(1995)L225 and references therein.

[9] Reshetikhin N.Yu, Takhtajan L.A and Faddeev L.D, *Len. Math. J.* **1**(1990)193.

[10] Wess J and Zumino B, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.* **B18**(1990)302.

[11] Schmidke W.B, Vokos S.P and Zumino B, *Z. Phys.* **C48**(1990)249.

[12] Dabrowski L and Wang Lu Yu, *Phys. Lett.* **B266**(1991)51.

[13] Manin Yu. I., *Quantum groups and non-commutative geometry*, **CRM**, Universite de Montreal,1988.

[14] Lukierski J, Ruegg H and Tolstoy V. N., ICTP preprint 1995

[15] Celeghini E, Giachetti R, Sorace E and Tarlini M, *J. Math. Phys.* **32**(1991)1159.

[16] Lukierski J, Nowicki A, Ruegg H and Tolstoy V. N., *Phys. Lett.* **B264**(1991)331.

[17] Woronowicz S.L, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **30**(1991)259.